	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/682,375	KRISHNAMOORTHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carl S. Miller	3747
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Carl S. Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Colin Abrahams</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 03 March 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: None.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called the applicant's representative to confirm that no response to the last office action was filed prior to the expiration of the six month statutory period and the case was allowed to go abandoned.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•	Corl C Millor Drings Town	since Art In: 2747
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Carl S. Miller/, Primary Exam Examiner's signature, if requi	
Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		
	v Summary	Paper No. 20080302